

## CGPC Questionnaire re BLYTHE VALLEY PARK

Comments are *as stated* on survey, or in attached note, or Email received up to and including 10<sup>th</sup> July 2016.

181 replies.

few cases I have copied part of the option they referred to in brackets first.

Please note a new option was added to the CGPC “Build BVP School”. Any surveys received, include those listed within the comments below, which showed or inferred a separate school at BVP was their preference have been included in that total.

Whilst some comments standalone others need to be read in context. In these few cases I have copied part of the option they referred to in brackets first.

Also, in brackets, I have noted the number of times the comment (or similar) were noted.

### ACCESS TO BVP

- What mitigation? & please note I have chosen remain as at present as no other option is fully explained.
- Mitigation in Illshaw Heath. What does this mean (2)?.
- Winterton Farm ABSOLUTELY NOT.
- + ?Access from Kineton Lane where buses only sign is onto BVP? Then onto A34 at Box Trees.
- 2-way access on/off Stratford Road ...yes if this is opposite Gate Lane?
- 2 way access the only safe option.
- (A new access next to Winterton Farm) with improvements to Illshaw Heath Road & Watery Lane.
- Make Illshaw Heath Road & Kineton Lane one way eastbound.
- (New access next to Winterton Farm...) out of the question!
- Both present entrance & exit to BVP to be changed to two ways.

- Ref SMBC letter 11/DSC- 17 May 2016 “Due to carriageway width, it is not possible to consider road widening or the installation of a footway”
- Blythe needs its own facilities in order not to swamp Cheswick Green as roads cannot cope with traffic.
- A new access via Kineton Lane, but with no direct vehicular connection between Illshaw Heath & BVP.
- (Winterton Farm) So what would be the traffic mitigation for this option? This would be no different to access via Kineton Lane for the residents of Illshaw Heath Road - Warings Green Road. This is not accurate. This is not the only current footpath access to BVP. There is also one across fields from Warings Green Road through fields, why is this not mentioned? There are any no. of other possible alternatives.

#### FOOTPATH ACCESS TO BVP from CHESWICK GREEN VILLAGE

- Please note again more explanation needed. Also will people walk? Or drive?
- Walkable hard surface.
- I am concerned at loss of hedgerows. Planting a new hedgerow should be a priority.
- (a footpath along Illshaw Heath Road .....) provided that the footpath does not reduce the width of the road.
- NOT HUMPS (2)!! Chicanes!!!
- If Illshaw Heath Road made one way no need to do any work re footpaths
- Illshaw Heath Rd needs a footpath anyway as it has become a rat-run?
- A footpath along IHR and WGR with improved access across the fields.

#### MEDICAL FACILITIES

- Why would there be a loss of CGS?? (2). It covers wide area at present with many patients outside CG. Surely we have enough local people here to sustain it. We don't want to lose our lovely surgery.

- (Enlarge CG) to take only Cheswick Green & Cheswick Place patients
- Both villages should have their own facilities (14). Two Village Surgeries the only solution. The people on Cheswick Green should retain their medical facilities, lots of people have no transport. It's the same for the new place. Build BVP Surgery & only extend CG to cover both areas if there is a risk to CG practice.
- Neither (option) – Monkspath have their own surgery-no reason why BVP should not- (2). Gives all GP`s around extra cover.
- The loss of the Surgery & Pharmacy would be a great loss to the community of Cheswick Green.
- Why should we lose our Surgery? doesn't make sense. (2). Project fear. Misleads respondent (1)
- (Build a be new practice at BVP.....) No No.
- Neither option.
- (but risk loss of CG Surgery ) OUTRAGEOUS (1) Biased (1) .
- I use Monkspath surgery.
- People from Cheswick would not be able to get to Blythe easily without a car. The Surgery needs enlarging to cope with Mount Dairy Farm- more Doctors & nurses. Blythe Valley would be a village and not part of Cheswick Green. It needs its own surgery, many elderly people do not drive.
- Why can't additional Dr`s surgeries be built on Mount Pleasant without closing Cheswick Green surgery & Chemist?
- (Enlarge, etc. current facilities) Albeit how this could be achieved I am not sure, in terms of site.
- Cheswick Place development will take up any slack left at the present surgery.

### PRIMARY EDUCATION

- Change main entrance away from Cheswick Way which is already congested.(1) Cheswick Way cannot cope now (1).
- None of these seem a good idea.
- (Just expand the School) for Cheswick Green /Place only.
- If you are going to allow 750 new homes build them a new school or improve the school on Illshaw Heath that school in reva.
- Build their own as Monkspath did.

- How much can it expand? Isn't it going to take the children from Cheswick Place? What role will Hockley Heath play (2). Cheswick Green School can't be expected to cope alone.
- (Change this fields into playing fields...) No illumination at night please?
- Hockley Heath & St Patrick Schools are also an option for new residents (4). Are there spaces in other schools? Why isn't Hockley Heath being considered as part of the catchment area?
- Do not expand CG School. Leave CG alone
- (Bus Service) no people will use cars. (Do nothing & hope children will go elsewhere) but improve problem at present.
- Build a new School instead of Hotel (2).
- (Do nothing & hope children go elsewhere) Loaded question?
- Already been extended with loads of disruption the. Cars won't be able to park.
- How many (school) buses would be needed? Not practical. Too much congestion already with cars parking. What about parking for the extra teachers needed? Children should be able to walk to school where possible. Developers should be made to provide a school & surgery on their site- not a hotel. Cheswick & Blythe will be two different villages and should have their own schools & surgery like Monkspath and Dickens Heath.
- (Expand Cheswick Green School.... with new access) With access from Mount Dairy Farm.
- (change field into playing fields ...) this would be “development” in the gap between Cheswick Green and Stratford Road.
- There are other smaller schools which could receive investment and be expanded which are currently single form entry only. These are not the only options. St Patricks is walkable from BVP if footpaths are created or improved. Expand St Patricks to two form entry and improve roads and footpaths.

### GENERAL

- No space for comments (2).
- A clearer map with location/route alternatives clearly marked & colour coded would have helped.

- Do not build on BVP or reduce size considerably (3)
- Build a new school on BVP (All these comments included in survey under build BVP school ).
- Let the children born & bred here stand a chance in the affordable home
- Will there be any difference?
- Adding so many new pupils to the school will destroy one of its main features- a village school where everyone knows each other.
- Good luck?
- People need more accurate information.
- If you are going to allow this new village to be built you must supply it with its own facilities & not impact on Cheswick Green with the new houses already built on CG our facilities are? To the new & old residents.....
- Reduction of speed limits to 30mph- Watery lane, Creynolds Lane , Tanworth Lane, Vicarage Lane.
- Traffic calming measures urgently required along Creynolds Lane- already dangerous. No more traffic on Creynolds Lane.
- Modernise shop area & refurb Saxon- like The Farm. We need a Sainsbury's local etc. - time for change.
- You the P.C., should be totally against this development but if it goes ahead insist that they have their own school, Dr`s & Village Hall. This is a loaded Questionnaire shame on you.
- I have to say I find this questionnaire biased& leading. The options it offers strongly supports CGPC but this is undemocratic and does not give a full picture. If CGPC want views hold an open meeting & what is the obsession with Winterton Farm?
- Just improve existing access and amenities.
- Can they build all this elsewhere?
- Would you please ensure my views are taken into account/ I trust my views will be considered when the PC submits its response to the planning application.
- Works traffic must not use Watery Lane, Vicarage Road, Creynolds Lane, Illshaw Heath Road, Kinton Lane.
- \*Why is BVP being linked to CG? \* Surely they ate two different developments.
- Disagree with complete development.
- Keep as much of the village feeling as possible.
- For a development the size of this proposal full facilities /amenities etc. should be provided on site (2).

- Any development must consider the detriment impact on wildlife.
- CGV should be a self-sufficient with its own facilities.
- To whom it may concern. I am unwilling to answer any of these questions as I find them very biased and fails to mention the implications of certain positions. I want a self-sufficient village and maintenance of our rural environment not swallowed up by housing and traffic. These questions do not have the villages best interest in mind so I am unwilling to answer any! Yours sincerely a unhappy resident!!